

**Existence of Periodic Solutions for a Class of
Second-Order Neutral Differential Equations with
Multiple Deviating Arguments¹**

CHENGJUN GUO

*School of Applied Mathematics, Guangdong,
University of Technology 510006, P.R.China*
email: guochj817@163.com

DONAL O'REGAN

*Department of mathematics, National University of Ireland,
Galway, Ireland*
email: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie

AND

RAVI P. AGARWAL

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology,
Melbourne, Florida 32901, USA*
email: agarwal@fit.edu

ABSTRACT

Using Kranselskii fixed point theorem and Mawhin's continuation theorem we establish the existence of periodic solutions for a second order neutral differential equation with multiple deviating arguments.

¹This project is supported by grant 10871213 from NNSF of China, by grant 06021578 from NSF of Guangdong.

RESUMEN

Usando el teorema del punto fijo de Kranoselskii y el teorema de continuación de Mawhin establecemos la existencia de soluciones periódicas de una ecuación diferencial neutral de segundo orden con argumento de desviación múltiple.

Key words and phrases: *Periodic solution, Multiple deviating arguments, Neutral differential equation, Kranoselskii fixed point theorem, Mawhin's continuation theorem.*

Math. Subj. Class.: 34K15; 34C25.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we discuss the second-order neutral differential equation with multiple deviating arguments of the form

$$x''(t) + cx''(t - \tau) + a(t)x(t) + g(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), x(t - \tau_2(t)) \cdots, x(t - \tau_n(t))) = p(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where $|c| < 1$, τ is a constant, $\tau_i(t) (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, $a(t)$ and $p(t)$ are real continuous functions defined on \mathbf{R} with positive period T and $g(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in C(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ and is T -periodic in t .

Periodic solutions for differential equations were studied in [2-4, 6-10, 12, 15] and we note that most of the results in the literature concern delay problems. There are only a few papers [1, 5, 11, 13, 14] which discuss neutral problems.

For the sake of completeness, we first state Kranoselskii fixed point theorem and Mawhin's continuation theorem [3].

Theorem A (Kranoselskii). Suppose that Ω is a Banach space and X is a bounded, convex and closed subset of Ω . Let $U, S : X \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $Ux + Sy \in X$ for any $x, y \in X$;
- (2) U is a contraction mapping;
- (3) S is completely continuous.

Then $U + S$ has a fixed point in X .

Let X and Y be two Banach space and $L : DomL \subset X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear mapping and $N : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous mapping. The mapping L will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $dimKerL = codimImL < +\infty$, and ImL is closed in Y . If L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, there exist continuous projectors $P : X \rightarrow X$ and $Q : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that

$ImP = KerL$ and $ImL = KerQ = Im(I - Q)$. It follows that $L|_{DomL \cap KerP} : (I - P)X \rightarrow ImL$ has an inverse which will be denoted by K_P . If Ω is an open and bounded subset of X , the mapping N will be called L -compact on Ω if $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_P(I - Q)N(\overline{\Omega})$ is compact. Since ImQ is isomorphic to $KerL$, there exists an isomorphism $J : ImQ \rightarrow KerL$.

Theorem B (Mawhin's continuation theorem[3]). Let L be a Fredholm mapping of index zero, and let N be L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. Suppose

- (1) for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in \partial\Omega, Lx \neq \lambda Nx$ and
- (2) for each $x \in \partial\Omega \cap Ker(L), QNx \neq 0$ and $deg(QN, \Omega \cap Ker(L), 0) \neq 0$.

Then the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in $\overline{\Omega} \cap D(L)$.

2 Main Results

Now we make the following assumption on $a(t)$:

$$(H_1) \quad \left(\frac{a}{T}\right)^2 > M = \max_{t \in [0, T]} a(t) \geq a(t) \geq m = \min_{t \in [0, T]} a(t) > 0.$$

Our main results are the following theorems.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose (H_1) holds and also assume there exists a constant $K_1 > 0$ such that (H_2)

$$\|g\|_0 \leq m - 3|c|M - \|p\|_0,$$

where $\|g\|_0 = \max_{\{t \in [0, T], |x_1| \leq K_1, \dots, |x_n| \leq K_1\}} |g(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|$ and $\|p\|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |p(t)|$. Then Eq.(1.1) possesses a nontrivial T -periodic solution.

Theorem 2.2 Suppose (H_1) holds and also assume (H_3)

$$|g(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

Then Eq.(1.1) has at least one T -periodic solution as $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{n}[(1 - |c|)m - |c|M]$.

In order to prove the main theorems we need some preliminaries. Set

$$X := \{x | x \in C^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}), x(t + T) = x(t), \forall t \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

and $x^{(0)}(t) = x(t)$ and define the norm on X as follows

$$\|x\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)| + \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x'(t)| + \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x''(t)|.$$

Remark 2.3 If $x \in X$, then it follows that $x^{(i)}(0) = x^{(i)}(T)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$).

In order to prove our main results, we need the following Lemma [10].

Lemma 2.4 ([10]). Suppose that M is a positive number and satisfies $0 < M < (\frac{\pi}{T})^2$. Then for any function φ defined in $[0, T]$, the following equation

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) + Mx(t) = \varphi(t), \\ x(0) = x(T), x'(0) = x'(T) \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution

$$x(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s)\varphi(s)ds,$$

where

$$G(t, s) \begin{cases} w(t-s), & (k-1)T \leq s \leq t \leq kT \\ w(T+t-s), & (k-1)T \leq t \leq s \leq kT (k \in \mathbf{N}), \end{cases}$$

$$w(t) = \frac{\cos \alpha(t - \frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha \sin \frac{\alpha T}{2}}$$

and $\alpha = \sqrt{M}$. Here

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^T |G(t, s)| ds = \frac{1}{M}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1: For $\forall x \in X$, define the operators $U : X \rightarrow X$ and $S : X \rightarrow X$ respectively by

$$(Ux)(t) = -cx(t - \tau) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Sx)(t) &= cx(t - \tau) + \int_0^T G(t, s)[-cx''(s - \tau)(M - a(s))x(s) + p(s) \\ &\quad - g(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), x(s - \tau_2(s)) \cdots, x(s - \tau_n(s)))] ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

It is clear that a fixed point of $U + S$ is a T -periodic solution of Eq.(1.1).

We are going to demonstrate that U and S satisfy the conditions of Theorem A.

Let $x, y \in X$ and $|x| \leq K_1, |y| \leq K_1$ (here K_1 is as in the statement of Theorem 2.1). Now we prove that $|Ux + Sy| \leq K_1$ holds.

First, we have the following equality:

$$\int_0^T G(t, s)x''(s - \tau)ds = M \int_0^T G(t, s)x(s - \tau)ds. \tag{2.3}$$

In fact, we have from Lemma 2.4

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T G(t,s)x''(s-\tau)ds &= \int_0^t \frac{\cos\alpha(t-s-\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} d[x'(s-\tau)] + \int_t^T \frac{\cos\alpha(t-s+\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} d[x'(s-\tau)] \\
 &= \frac{\cos\alpha(t-s-\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} x'(s-\tau)|_0^t - \alpha \int_0^t \frac{\sin\alpha(t-s-\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} d[x(s-\tau)] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\cos\alpha(t-s+\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} x'(s-\tau)|_t^T - \alpha \int_t^T \frac{\sin\alpha(t-s+\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} d[x(s-\tau)] \\
 &= -\alpha \left[\frac{\sin\alpha(t-s-\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} x(s-\tau)|_0^t + \frac{\sin\alpha(t-s+\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} x(s-\tau)|_t^T \right] \\
 &\quad + \alpha^2 \left[\int_0^t \frac{\cos\alpha(t-s-\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} x(s-\tau)ds + \int_t^T \frac{\cos\alpha(t-s+\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}} x(s-\tau)ds \right] \\
 &= M \int_0^T G(t,s)x(s-\tau)ds,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

so (2.3) holds.

From (H_1) , (H_2) and (2.1)-(2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Uy)(t) + (Sx)(t)| &\leq |(Uy)(t)| + |(Sx)(t)| \\
 &\leq 2|c|K_1 + \left| \int_0^T G(t,s)(M - a(s))x(s) - cx''(s-\tau) + p(s) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - g(s, x(s-\tau_1(s)), x(s-\tau_2(s)), \dots, x(s-\tau_n(s))) \right| ds + |c|K_1 \\
 &\leq 2|c|K_1 + \frac{M-m}{M}K_1 + \frac{\|g\|_0}{M} + |c|M \left| \int_0^T G(t,s)x(s-\tau)ds \right| \\
 &\leq 3|c|K_1 + \frac{M-m}{M}K_1 + \frac{\|g\|_0 + \|p\|_0}{M} \\
 &\leq K_1, \quad x, y \in X,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\|g\|_0$ and $\|p\|_0$ are given in (H_2) .

Set

$$K_2 = \frac{\rho_0[(M-m)K_1 + |c|K_3 + \|g\|_0 + \|p\|_0]}{1-2|c|}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\rho_0 = \frac{T}{2\sin\frac{T\alpha}{2}}$,

$$K_3 = \frac{MK_1 + \|g\|_0 + \|p\|_0}{1-|c|} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$G = \{x \in X : |x(t)| \leq K_1, |x'(t)| \leq K_2, |x''(t)| \leq K_3\}.$$

It is clear that G is a bounded, convex and closed subset of X .

(1) For $\forall x, y \in G$, we will show that

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} [(Uy)(t) + (Sx)(t)] \right| \leq K_2 \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{d^2[(Uy)(t)+(Sx)(t)]}{dt^2} \right| \leq K_3. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.1) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}[(Ux)(t)] = -cx'(t-\tau) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\frac{d^2[(Ux)(t)]}{dt^2} = -cx''(t-\tau). \quad (2.11)$$

Also from Lemma 2.4 and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[(Sx)(t)] &= \int_0^T G_t(t,s)[(M-a(s))x(s) - cx''(s-\tau) + p(s) \\ &\quad -g(s,x(s-\tau_1(s)),x(s-\tau_2(s))\cdots,x(s-\tau_n(s)))]ds + cx''(t-\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$G_t(t,s) \begin{cases} \tilde{w}(t-s), & (k-1)T \leq s \leq t \leq kT \\ \tilde{w}(T+t-s), & (k-1)T \leq t \leq s \leq kT (k \in \mathbf{N}) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{w}(t) = \frac{\sin \alpha(t-\frac{T}{2})}{2 \sin \frac{\alpha T}{2}},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[(Sx)(t)] &= \left\{ \int_0^T G_t(t,s)[(M-a(s))x(s) - cx''(s-\tau) + p(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. -g(s,x(s-\tau_1(s)),x(s-\tau_2(s))\cdots,x(s-\tau_n(s)))]ds + cx''(t-\tau) \right\}' \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{\cos \alpha(t-s-\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha \sin \frac{T\alpha}{2}} [(M-a(s))x(s) - cx''(s-\tau) + p(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. -g(s,x(s-\tau_1(s)),x(s-\tau_2(s))\cdots,x(s-\tau_n(s)))]ds + cx''(t-\tau) \right\}' \\ &\quad + \left\{ \int_t^s \frac{\cos \alpha(t-s+\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha \sin \frac{T\alpha}{2}} [(M-a(s))x(s) - cx''(s-\tau) + p(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. -g(s,x(s-\tau_1(s)),x(s-\tau_2(s))\cdots,x(s-\tau_n(s)))]ds + cx''(t-\tau) \right\}' \\ &= \alpha \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{\cos \alpha(t-s-\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha \sin \frac{T\alpha}{2}} [(M-a(s))x(s) - cx''(s-\tau) + p(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. -g(s,x(s-\tau_1(s)),x(s-\tau_2(s))\cdots,x(s-\tau_n(s)))]ds + cx''(t-\tau) \right\} \\ &\quad + \alpha \left\{ \int_t^s \frac{\cos \alpha(t-s+\frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha \sin \frac{T\alpha}{2}} [(M-a(s))x(s) - cx''(s-\tau) + p(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. -g(s,x(s-\tau_1(s)),x(s-\tau_2(s))\cdots,x(s-\tau_n(s)))]ds + cx''(t-\tau) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\int_0^T |G_t(t,s)| ds \leq \frac{T}{2 \sin \frac{\omega T}{2}} = \rho_0$$

and

$$\frac{d^2[(Sx)(t)]}{dt^2} = p(t) - a(t)x(t) - g(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), x(t - \tau_2(t)) \cdots, x(t - \tau_n(t))). \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.6),(2.7) and (2.10)-(2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt}[(Uy)(t) + (Sx)(t)] \right| &\leq \left| \frac{d}{dt}[(Uy)(t)] \right| + \left| \frac{d}{dt}[(Sx)(t)] \right| \\ &\leq 2|c|K_2 + \rho_0[(M - m)K_1 + |c|K_3 + \|g\|_0 + \|p\|_0] \\ &\leq K_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d^2[(Uy)(t) + (Sx)(t)]}{dt^2} \right| &= |(M - a(t))x(t) - cy''(t - \tau) + p(t) \\ &\quad - g(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), x(t - \tau_2(t)) \cdots, x(t - \tau_n(t)))| \\ &\leq (M - m)K_1 + |c|K_3 + \|g\|_0 + \|p\|_0 \\ &\leq K_3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.5), (2.14) and (2.15), we have $Ux + Sy \in G$ for $\forall x, y \in G$.

(2) U is a contraction mapping.

Let $x, y \in G$ and we from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ux - Uy\| &= \max_{t \in [0, T]} |cx(t - \tau) - cy(t - \tau)| + \max_{t \in [0, T]} |cx'(t - \tau) - cy'(t - \tau)| \\ &\quad + \max_{t \in [0, T]} |cx''(t - \tau) - cy''(t - \tau)| \\ &= |c|[\max_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t - \tau) - y(t - \tau)| + \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x'(t - \tau) - y'(t - \tau)| \\ &\quad + \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x''(t - \tau) - y''(t - \tau)|] \\ &= |c|\|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|c| < 1$, U is a contraction mapping.

(3) S is completely continuous.

We can obtain the continuity of S from the continuity of $a(t), p(t)$ and $g(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), x(t - \tau_2(t)) \cdots, x(t - \tau_n(t)))$ for $t \in [0, T], x \in G$. In fact, suppose that $x_k \in G$ and $\|x_k - s\| \rightarrow 0$ as

$k \rightarrow +\infty$. Since G is closed convex subset of X , we have $x \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Sx_k - Sx| &= c[x_k(t - \tau) - x(t - \tau)] + c[x_k(t - \tau) - x(t - \tau)] \\
 &+ \int_0^T G(t, s) \{ (M - a(s))(x_k(s) - x(s)) - c[x_k''(s - \tau) - x''(s - \tau)] \\
 &- [g(s, x_k(s - \tau_1(s)), x_k(s - \tau_2(s)) \cdots, x_k(s - \tau_n(s))) \\
 &- g(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), x(s - \tau_2(s)) \cdots, x(s - \tau_n(s)))] \} ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have from (2.12), (2.13) and (2.16) that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Sx_k - Sx\| = 0.$$

Then S is continuous.

Next, we prove that Sx is relatively compact. It suffices to show that the family of functions $\{Sx : x \in G\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on $[0, T]$. From (2.2), (2.12) and (2.13), it is easy to see that $\{Sx : x \in G\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuity. Since S is continuous and is relatively compact, S is completely continuous. By Theorem A (Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem), we have a fixed point x of $U + S$. That means that x is a T -periodic solution of Eq.(1.1).

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need some preliminaries. Set

$$Z := \{x | x \in C^1(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}), x(t + T) = x(t), \forall t \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

and $x^{(0)}(t) = x(t)$ and define the norm on Z as follows

$$\|x\| = \max \{ \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|, \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x'(t)| \},$$

and set

$$Y := \{y | y \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}), y(t + T) = y(t), \forall t \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

We define the norm on Y as follow $\|y\|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |y(t)|$. Thus both $(Z, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_0)$ are Banach spaces.

Remark 2.5 If $x \in Z$, then it follows that $x^{(i)}(0) = x^{(i)}(T)$ ($i = 0, 1$).

Define the operators $L : Z \rightarrow Y$ and $N : Z \rightarrow Y$ respectively by

$$Lx(t) = x''(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 Nx(t) &= -cx''(t-\tau) - a(t)x(t) + p(t) \\
 &\quad -g(t, x(t-\tau_1(t)), x(t-\tau_2(t)), \dots, x(t-\tau_n(t))), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.18}$$

Clearly,

$$\text{Ker}L = \{x \in Z : x(t) = c \in \mathbf{R}\}
 \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\text{Im}L = \{y \in Y : \int_0^T y(t)dt = 0\}
 \tag{2.20}$$

is closed in Y . Thus L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero.

Let us define $P : Z \rightarrow Z$ and $Q : Y \rightarrow Y/\text{Im}(L)$ respectively by

$$Px(t) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x(t)dt = x(0), \quad t \in \mathbf{R},
 \tag{2.21}$$

for $x = x(t) \in X$ and

$$Qy(t) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T y(t)dt, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}
 \tag{2.22}$$

for $y = y(t) \in Y$. It is easy to see that $\text{Im}P = \text{Ker}L$ and $\text{Im}L = \text{Ker}Q = \text{Im}(I - Q)$. It follows that $L|_{\text{Dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P} : (I - P)Z \rightarrow \text{Im}L$ has an inverse which will be denoted by K_P .

Let Ω be an open and bounded subset of Z , we can easily see that $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_P(I - Q)N(\overline{\Omega})$ is compact. Thus the mapping N is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. That is, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.6. Let L, N, P and Q be defined by (2.17), (2.18), (2.21) and (2.22) respectively. Then L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and N is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$, where Ω is any open and bounded subset of Z .

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need the following Lemma [12].

Lemma 2.7 ([12 and Remark 2.5]). Let $x(t) \in C^{(n)}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}) \cap C_T$. Then

$$\|x^{(i)}\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |x^{(i+1)}(s)|ds, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

where $n \geq 2$ and $C_T := \{x | x \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}), x(t+T) = x(t), \forall t \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

Now, we consider the following auxiliary equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 x''(t) &+ c\lambda x''(t-\tau) + a(t)\lambda x(t) = \lambda p(t) \\
 &\quad -\lambda g(t, x(t-\tau_1(t)), x(t-\tau_2(t)), \dots, x(t-\tau_n(t))),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.23}$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. If $x(t)$ is a T -periodic

solution of Eq.(2.23), then there are positive constants $D_i(i = 0, 1)$, which are independent of λ , such that

$$\|x^{(i)}\|_0 \leq D_i, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad i = 0, 1. \quad (2.24)$$

Proof: Suppose that $x(t)$ is a T -periodic solution of (2.23). We have from (H_3) and (2.23) that

$$|x''(t)| \leq \max_{t \in [0, T]} |c| |x''(t)| + M \|x\|_0 + \|p\|_0 + \gamma n \|x\|_0. \quad (2.25)$$

From (2.25), we have

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} |x''(t)| \leq \frac{1}{1-|c|} [(M + \gamma n) \|x\|_0 + \|p\|_0]. \quad (2.26)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.4 and (2.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_0^T \tilde{G}(t, s) \lambda [(M - a(s))x(s) + p(s) - cx''(s - \tau) \\ &\quad - g(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), x(s - \tau_2(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)))] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$\tilde{G}(t, s) \begin{cases} \tilde{w}(t - s), & (k - 1)T \leq s \leq t \leq kT \\ \tilde{w}(T + t - s), & (k - 1)T \leq t \leq s \leq kT (k \in \mathbf{N}), \end{cases} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\tilde{w}(t) = \frac{\cos \alpha_1(t - \frac{T}{2})}{2\alpha_1 \sin \frac{\alpha_1 T}{2}}, \quad (2.29)$$

$\alpha_1 = \sqrt{\lambda M}$ and

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^T |\tilde{G}(t, s)| ds = \frac{1}{\lambda M}. \quad (2.30)$$

From (H_3) , (2.27) and (2.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_0 &= \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^T \tilde{G}(t, s) \lambda [(M - a(s))x(s) + p(s) - cx''(s - \tau) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), x(s - \tau_2(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)))] ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M} [(M - m) \|x\|_0 + \|p\|_0 + |c| \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x''(t)| + \gamma n \|x\|_0]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

From (2.31), we have

$$\|x\|_0 \leq \frac{|c| \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x''(t)| + \|p\|_0}{m - \gamma n}. \quad (2.32)$$

Thus combining (2.26) and (2.32), we see that

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} |x''(t)| \leq \frac{M + m}{m(1 - |c|) - M|c| - \gamma n} = \xi \quad (2.33)$$

and

$$\|x\|_0 \leq \frac{|c|\xi + \|p\|_0}{m - \gamma n} = D_0. \quad (2.34)$$

Finally from Lemma 2.4, (2.33) and (2.34), we get

$$\|x'\|_0 \leq D_1. \tag{2.35}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.8 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: Suppose that $x(t)$ is a T -periodic solution of Eq.(2.23). By Lemma 2.8, there exist positive constants $D_i(i = 0,1)$ which are independent of λ such that (2.24) is true. Consider any positive constant $\bar{D} > \max_{0 \leq i \leq 1} \{D_i\} + \|p\|_0$.

Set

$$\Omega := \{x \in Z : \|x\| < \bar{D}\}.$$

We know that L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and N is L -compact on $\bar{\Omega}$ (see [3]).

Recall

$$Ker(L) = \{x \in Z : x(t) = c \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

and the norm on Z is

$$\|x\| = \max \{ \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|, \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x'(t)| \}.$$

Then we have

$$x = \bar{D} \quad \text{or} \quad x = -\bar{D} \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \partial\Omega \cap Ker(L). \tag{2.36}$$

From (H_3) and (2.36), we have(if \bar{D} is chosen large enough)

$$a(t)\bar{D} + g(t, \bar{D}, \bar{D}, \dots, \bar{D}) - \|p\|_0 > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, T] \tag{2.37}$$

and

$$x'(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x''(t) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{2.38}$$

Finally from (2.18), (2.22), (2.37) and (2.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (QNx) &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [-cx''(t-\tau) - a(t)x(t) + p(t)] dt \\ &\quad - g(t, x(t-\tau_1(t)), x(t-\tau_2(t)), \dots, x(t-\tau_n(t))) dt \\ &\neq 0, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap Ker(L). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any $x \in KerL \cap \partial\Omega$ and $\eta \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} xH(x, \eta) &= -\eta x^2 - \frac{x}{T} (1-\eta) \int_0^T [cx''(t-\tau) + a(t)x(t) - p(t) \\ &\quad + g(t, x(t-\tau_1(t)), x(t-\tau_2(t)), \dots, x(t-\tau_n(t))) dt] dt \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \deg\{QN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0\} \\
 &= \deg\left\{-\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [cx''(t-\tau) + a(t)x(t) - p(t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + g(t, x(t-\tau_1(t)), x(t-\tau_2(t)), \dots, x(t-\tau_n(t)))] dt, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0\right\} \\
 &= \deg\{-x, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0\} \\
 &\neq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.8 for any $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Dom}(L)$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ we have $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$. By Theorem B (Mawhin's continuation theorem), the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least a solution in $\text{Dom}(L) \cap \overline{\Omega}$, so there exists a T -periodic solution of Eq.(1.1). The proof is complete.

References

- [1] CHEN, Y.S., *The existence of periodic solutions for a class of neutral differential difference equations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **33** (1992), 508–516.
- [2] CHEN, Y.S., *The existence of periodic solutions of the equation $x'(t) = -f(x(t), x(t-r))$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **163** (1992), 227–237.
- [3] GAINES, R.E. AND MAWHIN, J.L., *Coincidence degree and nonlinear differential equation*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol.568, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [4] GUO, Z.M. AND YU, J.S., *Multiplicity results for periodic solutions to delay differential difference equations via critical point theory*, J. Diff. Eqns., **218** (2005), 15–35.
- [5] GUO, C.J. AND GUO, Z.M., *Existence of multiple periodic solutions for a class of three-order neutral differential equations*, Acta. Math. Sinica, **52**(4) (2009), 737–751.
- [6] GUO, C.J. AND GUO, Z.M., *Existence of multiple periodic solutions for a class of second-order delay differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal-B: Real World Applications, **10**(5) (2009), 3825–3972.
- [7] HALE, J.K., *Theory of functional differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [8] KAPLAN, J.L. AND YORKE, J.A., *Ordinary differential equations which yield periodic solution of delay equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **48** (1974), 317–324.
- [9] LI, J.B. AND HE, X.Z., *Proof and generalization of Kaplan-Yorke's conjecture on periodic solution of differential delay equations*, Sci. China(Ser.A), **42** (9) (1999), 957–964.

-
- [10] LI, Y.X., *Positive periodic solutions of nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations*, Acta Math. Sini., **45** (2002), 482–488.
- [11] LU, S.P., *Existence of periodic solutions for a p -Laplacian neutral functional differential equation*, Nonlinear. Anal., **70** (2009), 231–243.
- [12] LI, J.W. AND WANG, G.Q., *Sharp inequalities for periodic functions*, Applied Math. E-Note, **5** (2005), 75–83.
- [13] SHU, X.B., XU, Y.T. AND HUANG, L.H., *Infinite periodic solutions to a class of second-order Sturm-Liouville neutral differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **68** (4) (2008), 905–911.
- [14] WANG, G.Q. AND YAN, J.R., *Existence of periodic solutions for second order nonlinear neutral delay equations*, Acta Math. Sini., **47** (2004), 379–384.
- [15] XU, Y.T. AND GUO, Z.M., *Applications of a Z_p index theory to periodic solutions for a class of functional differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **257** (1) (2001), 189–205.