# A Short Note On M-Symmetric Hyperelliptic Riemann Surfaces\*

Rubén A. Hidalgo

Departamento de Matemáticas,

Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile

email: ruben.hidalgo@usm.cl

#### ABSTRACT

We provide an argument, based on Schottky groups, of a result due to B. Maskit which states a necessary and sufficient condition for the double oriented cover of a planar compact Klein surface of algebraic genus at least two to be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface.

#### RESUMEN

Damos un argumento, basado en grupos de Schottky, de un resultado debido a B. Maskit el cual establece una condición necesária y suficiente para el cubrimiento duplo orientado de una superficie de Klein compacta planar de genero algebrico al menos dos ser una superficie de Riemann hipereliptica.

Key words and phrases: Schottky groups, Hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces.

Math. Subj. Class.: 30F10, 30F40.

<sup>\*</sup>Partially supported by projects Fondecyt 1070271 and UTFSM 12.09.02  $\,$ 



## 1 Preliminaries

Let us consider a collection of (g+1) pairwise disjoint round circles on the Riemann sphere, say  $C_1,...,C_{g+1}$ , bounding a common domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of connectivity (g+1). If we denote by  $\tau_j$  the reflection on the circle  $C_j$ , then the group  $G = \langle \tau_1,...,\tau_{g+1} \rangle$  is an extended Kleinian group, isomorphic to the free product of (g+1) copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . We say that G is a planar extended Schottky group of rank g. The region of discontinuity  $\Omega$  of a planar extended Schottky group of rank g is connected (the complement of a Cantor set for  $g \geq 2$ ) and  $S = \Omega/G$  is a planar compact Klein surface of algebraic genus g, that is, holomorphically equivalent to the closure of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Quasiconformal deformation theory asserts that every planar compact Klein surface of algebraic genus g is obtained in this way.

Let  $G = \langle \tau_1, ..., \tau_{g+1} \rangle$  a planar extended Schottky group of rank g. Let  $G^+$  be its index two subgroup of orientation preserving transformations. It turns out that  $G^+$  is a (classical) Schottky group of genus g, freely generated by the transformations  $a_j = \tau_{g+1}\tau_j$ , for j=1,...,g. The closed Riemann surface  $S^+ = \Omega/G^+$  is the double oriented cover of the planar compact Klein surface  $S = \Omega/G$ . Any of the transformation in  $G - G^+$  induces an anticonformal involution  $\tau : S^+ \to S^+$  (that is, a real structure on  $S^+$ ) so that  $S = S^+/\langle \tau \rangle$ . It follows that the number of ovals of  $\tau$  (its connected components of fixed points) is equal to (g+1), in particular,  $(S^+, \tau)$  is a M-symmetric Riemann surface. Let us denote by  $\pi : S^+ \to S$  the two-fold (branched) Klein cover induced by  $\tau$  and by  $P : \Omega \to S^+$  the Schottky covering of  $S^+$  induced by the Schottky group  $G^+$ . In [4] B. Maskit proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G be a planar extended Schottky group of rank  $g \geq 2$ , defined by tye circles  $C_1,..., C_{g+1}$ . Then the Riemann surface  $\Omega/G^+$  is hyperelliptic if and only if there is a circle which is orthogonal to all  $C_j$ , j = 1,...,g+1.

The aim of this note is to provide a different proof of Theorem 1.1 relaying more on the Schottky groups spirit.

We need to recall some extra definitions. Let  $\Sigma_1,...$   $\Sigma_{g+1}$  be pairwise disjoint simple loops on the Riemann sphere, all of them bounding a common domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of connectivity g+1. Assume that for each j=1,...,g+1, there is a Möbius transformation of order 2, say  $E_j$ , so that  $E_j$  permutes both topological discs discs bounded by  $\Sigma_j$  (in particular, both fixed points of  $E_j$  belong to  $\Sigma_j$ ). The group  $K=\langle E_1,...,E_{g+1}\rangle$  is a Kleinian group, isomorphic to a free product of g+1 copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , called a Whittaker group of rank g [3]. If  $\Omega$  is the region of discontinuity of K, then  $\Omega$  is connected (the complement of a Cantor set for  $g\geq 2$ ) and  $S=\Omega/K$  is an orbifold of signature (0,2g+2;2,...,2), that is, the Riemann sphere with exactly 2(g+1) conical points, all of them of conical order 2. Inside K there is exactly one index two torsion free subgroup, say  $K^{(2)}$ . It turns out that  $K^{(2)}$  is a Schottky group of rank g, called a hyperelliptic Schottky group, which is freely generated by the transformations  $E_{g+1}E_1,...,E_{g+1}E_g$ . In this case,  $S^{(2)}=\Omega/K^{(2)}$  turns out to



be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface, the hyperellitic involution (unique for  $g \ge 2$  [2]) is induced by any of the transformations in  $K - K^{(2)}$ . The projection of the fixed points of  $E_1, ..., E_{g+1}$  to  $S^{(2)}$  provides the 2(g+1) fixed points of the hyperelliptic involution.

## 2 The Necessary Part

Let us consider a planar extended Schottky group G of rank  $g \geq 2$ , say generated by the reflections  $\tau_1, ..., \tau_{g+1}$  on a collection of (g+1) pairwise disjoint round circles on the Riemann sphere, say  $C_1, ..., C_{g+1}$ , bounding a common domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of connectivity (g+1). Let  $G^+$  be the index two orientation preserving Schottky subgroup and let  $S^+ = \Omega/G^+$ , where  $\Omega$  is the region of discontinuity of G (the same as for  $G^+$ ). As before, we denote by  $\tau: S^+ \to S^+$  the real structure induced on  $S^+$  by the action of G. Let us denote by  $\mathcal{O}_1 = P(C_1), ..., \mathcal{O}_{g+1} = P(C_{g+1})$  the ovals of  $\tau$ .

Let us assume  $S^+$  is a hyperelliptic Riemann surface and let  $j: S^+ \to S^+$  be its hyperelliptic involution. As the hyperelliptic involution is unique [2], j and  $\tau$  should commute, in particular, the collection of ovals of  $\tau$  is invariant under j. The Schottky group  $G^+$  is defined by the ovals  $\mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{g+1}$ , that is, by the normalizer (in the fundamental group) of them. It follows that the hyperelliptic involution lifts to a conformal automorphism  $\hat{j}: \Omega \to \Omega$  under  $P: \Omega \to S^+$ , that is,  $jP = P\hat{j}$ . We have that  $\hat{j}^2 \in G^+$ . As j has fixed point, we may assume that  $\hat{j}$  also has fixed points, in particular,  $\hat{j}^2 = I$ .

It is known that  $\Omega$  is of class  $O_{AD}$ ; that is, it admits no holomorphic function with finite Dirichlet norm (see [1, pg 241]). It follows from this (see [1, pg 200]) that every conformal map from  $\Omega$  into the Riemann sphere is a Möbius transformation. In this way,  $\hat{j}$  is the restriction of a Möbius transformation of order two.

**Lemma 2.1.** Each oval has exactly two fixed points of j and each fixed point belongs to some oval. Moreover, each oval is invariant under j.

Proof. Let us denote by  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  the two connected components of  $S^+ - \cup_{j=1}^{g+1} \mathcal{O}_j$ . If one of the fixed points of j is not contained in  $\cup_{j=1}^{g+1} \mathcal{O}_j$ , then we should have that  $j(D_1) = D_1$ . But as  $D_1$  is planar (isomorphic to the closure of  $\mathcal{D}$ ) we will have that the restriction of j onto  $D_1$  coincides with a Möbius transformation of order 2. It will follows then that j must have at most 4 fixed points on S, a contradiction. In particular, every fixed point of j is contained in some oval. Also, if the oval  $\mathcal{O}_k$  contains a fixed point of j, then we should have that  $j(\mathcal{O}_k) = \mathcal{O}_k$ . In that case, we have that  $\mathcal{O}_k$  should have exactly two fixed points of j. As j contains exactly 2(g+1) fixed points and we have exactly (g+1) ovals, we have that: (i) each oval has exactly two fixed points of j and (ii) each oval is invariant unde! r j.

By the previous lemma, for each  $k \in \{1, ..., g+1\}$ ,  $j(\mathcal{O}_k) = \mathcal{O}_k$ . It follows that we may choose liftings  $\hat{j}_1, ..., \hat{j}_{g+1}$ , of the hyperelliptic involution, each one of order 2 so that  $\hat{j}_k(C_k) = C_k$  and



both fixed point of  $\hat{j}_k$  are contained in  $C_k$ . Let us consider the Whittaker group

$$\widehat{G} = \langle \widehat{j}_1, ..., \widehat{j}_{g+1} \rangle,$$

and its hyperelliptic Schottky group

$$\widehat{G}^{(2)} = \langle \widehat{j}_{g+1} \widehat{j}_1, ..., \widehat{j}_{g+1} \widehat{j}_g \rangle.$$

We have, by the construction, that  $G^+ = \widehat{G}^{(2)}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** 
$$\hat{j}_{g+1}\hat{j}_k = \tau_{g+1}\tau_k, \ k = 1, ..., g.$$

*Proof.* Let us first observe that the circles  $C_k$ ,  $C'_k = \tau_{g+1}(C_k)$  and  $\hat{j}_{g+1}(C_k)$  are lifting of the oval  $\mathcal{O}_k$ . The circles  $C_1$ ,  $C'_1$ ,...,  $C_g$ ,  $C'_g$  (respectively, the circles  $C_1$ ,  $\hat{j}_{g+1}(C_1)$ ,...,  $C_g$  and  $\hat{j}_{g+1}(C_g)$ ) form a standard fundamental domain for  $G^+$ .

As  $\hat{j}_{g+1}(C_k)$  must belong to the disc bounded by the circle  $C_{g+1}$  which does not contains the circle  $C_k$ , we should have that  $\hat{j}_{g+1}(C_k)$  should be one of the discs  $C'_1, \ldots, C'_g$ . But as mentioned, the only such disc which is a lifting of  $\mathcal{O}_k$  is exactly  $C'_k$ . We have that  $\hat{j}_{g+1}(C_k) = C'_k$ .

Now, we have that the loxodromic transformations  $\hat{j}_{g+1}\hat{j}_k$ ,  $\tau_{g+1}\tau_k \in G^+$  send  $C_k$  onto  $C'_k$  and each maps the exterior of  $C_k$  onto the interior of  $C'_k$ . It follows that the transformation  $\eta = \tau_k \tau_{g+1} \hat{j}_{g+1} \hat{j}_k \in G^+$  keeps invariant the circle  $C_k$  and each of its bounded discs. As  $C_k$  is contained on the region of discontinuity of  $G^+$ , it follows that  $\eta$  cannot be loxodromic. As  $G^+$  only contains loxodromic transformations besides the identity, we should have  $\eta = I$ .

Let us recall that, if C is a circle on the Riemann sphere and p and q are any two different points on it, then there is a unique orthogonal circle to it passing through these two given points. The previous fact together with the fact that a circle is uniquely determined by 3 points on it and the following lemma asserts the existence of a common orthogonal circle as desired to prove the necessary part of the theorem.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let us consider two pairwise disjoint circles, say  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Let  $\sigma_j$  be the reflection of  $C_j$  and  $t_j$  be an elliptic transformation of order 2 preserving  $C_j$  whose fixed points belong to  $C_j$ . Then  $\sigma_2\sigma_1 = t_2t_1$  if and only if there is a circle C such that:

- (i) the fixed points of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  belong to C and
- (ii) C is orthogonal to both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

*Proof.* We may normalize by a suitable Möbius transformation in order to assume that  $C_1$  is the unit circle and  $C_2$  is the circle centered at the origin and a positive radius r > 1. In this case we



have that  $\sigma_2\sigma_1(z)=r^2z$ . The equality  $t_2t_1=\sigma_2\sigma_1$  then obligates to have that the fixed points of both  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  on a line through 0.

## 3 The Sufficiency Part

Let us assume we have (g+1) circles, say  $C_1,..., C_{g+1}$ , each one of them orthogonal to a common circle  $C_0$ . Let us denote by  $\tau_k$  the reflection on the circle  $C_k$ , for k=0,1,...,g+1. Let us denote by  $\eta_k=\tau_0\tau_k$ , for k=1,...,g+1, which are elliptic transformations of order 2. Let G be the planar extended Schottky group generated by the reflections  $\tau_1,..., \tau_{g+1}$  and let  $\widehat{G}$  be the Whittaker group generated by the involutions  $\eta_1,..., \eta_{g+1}$ . It easy to see that  $G^+$  is the hyperelliptic subgroup of  $\widehat{G}$ . It follows then that the uniformized surface by  $G^+$  is hyperelliptic, with hyperelliptic involution induced by  $\eta_0$ .

Received: September 2008. Revised: January 2009.

#### References

- [1] Ahlfors, L. and Sario, L., *Riemann Surfaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1960.
- [2] FARKAS, H. AND KRA, I., *Riemann Surfaces*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **71**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [3] KEEN, L., On Hyperelliptic Schottky groups, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Series A.I. Mathematica, 5, 1980.
- [4] Maskit, B., Remarks on m-symmetric Riemann surfaces, Contemporary Math., 211 (1997), 433–445.