

Quarter-symmetric metric connection on a p-Kenmotsu manifold

BHAWANA CHAUBE^{1,✉} 

S. K. CHANYAL¹ 

¹*Department of Mathematics, D.S.B.
Campus, Kumaun University,
Nainital-263001, India.*

bhawanachaube18@gmail.com

skchanyal.math@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In the present paper we study para-Kenmotsu (p-Kenmotsu) manifold equipped with quarter-symmetric metric connection and discuss certain derivation conditions.

RESUMEN

En el presente artículo estudiamos variedades para-Kenmotsu (p-Kenmotsu) equipadas con conexiones métricas cuarto-simétricas y discutimos ciertas condiciones derivadas.

Keywords and Phrases: Para-Kenmotsu manifold, quarter-symmetric metric connection, curvature tensor, η -Einstein manifold.

2020 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C25.

Published: 10 April, 2024

Accepted: 27 February, 2024

Received: 13 September, 2023



©2024 B. Chaube et al. This open access article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

1 Introduction

Kenmotsu in 1971, introduced a class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying some special conditions, called Kenmotsu manifold [10]. Many researchers including U.C. De and R. N. Singh studied some properties of Kenmotsu manifolds endowed with various conditions [2, 3, 9, 15]. Sato [13] in 1976, introduced the notion of an almost para-contact structure on Riemannian manifolds which is similar to the almost contact structure on Riemannian manifolds. In 1995, B. B. Sinha and K. L. Sai Prasad [16] defined a class of almost para contact metric manifolds analogous to the class of Kenmotsu manifolds, known as para-Kenmotsu (p-Kenmotsu) manifolds. T. Satyanarayana *et al.* [14] studied curvature properties in a p-Kenmotsu manifold.

Friedmann and Schouten in 1924 [6], presented the idea of semi-symmetric connection on a differentiable manifold. Yano introduced semi-symmetric metric connection in 1970 using the idea of metric connection given by Hayden in 1932. M. M. Tripathi [19] and Tang *et al.* [18] studied semi-symmetric metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold. A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on a Riemannian manifold M is said to be a semi-symmetric connection if the torsion tensor T given by

$$T(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y]$$

satisfies

$$T(X, Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y,$$

where η is a 1-form and $g(X, \xi) = \eta(X)$, ξ is a vector field and for all vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, $\chi(M)$ is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M .

Gołąb [7] in 1975 studied quarter-symmetric metric connection in differentiable manifolds with affine connections. Further S. C. Biswas, U. C. De and many others [1, 4, 5, 17] studied quarter-symmetric metric connection in Riemannian manifolds equipped with various structures. A quarter-symmetric connection is considered as a generalisation of semi-symmetric connection since its torsion tensor T satisfies

$$T(X, Y) = \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y,$$

where ϕ is a $(1, 1)$ tensor field. If quarter-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfies the condition

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = 0,$$

where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, then $\bar{\nabla}$ is said to be a quarter-symmetric metric connection. Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and ∇ be its Levi-Civita connection. The Riemannian curvature tensor R , the concircular curvature tensor W , Weyl projective curvature tensor P of M

are defined by [11, 12]

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z, \quad (1.1)$$

$$W(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y], \quad (1.2)$$

$$P(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1}[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y], \quad (1.3)$$

where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ and r is the scalar curvature.

The paper is organised as follows: In section 2, a brief introduction of p-Kenmotsu manifolds is given. In section 3, the relation between the curvature tensors of Riemannian connection and the quarter-symmetric metric connection in a p-Kenmotsu manifold is obtained. The study of a p-Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection satisfying the curvature condition $\bar{R} \cdot \bar{S}$ is contained in section 4. In section 5, we study ϕ -concentrically flat p-Kenmotsu manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. The curvature condition $\bar{P} \cdot \bar{S} = 0$ and ϕ -Weyl projective flat p-Kenmotsu manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection are respectively studied in the sections 6 and 7. Finally we give an example of a 5-dimensional p-Kenmotsu manifold.

2 Preliminaries

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold endowed with an almost para-contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) , where ϕ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field, ξ is a vector field, and η is a 1-form on M , then

$$\phi^2 X = X - \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

$$\phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \text{rank } (\phi) = 2n. \quad (2.2)$$

where X is a vector field on M . The manifold M endowed with (ϕ, ξ, η) is called an almost para-contact manifold [13].

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M compatible to the structure (ϕ, ξ, η) , i.e., the following equations are satisfied

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad (2.3)$$

for all vector fields X and Y on M . Then the manifold M is said to admit an almost para-contact Riemannian structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) .

If moreover, (ϕ, ξ, η, g) satisfy the following conditions

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi = \phi^2(X), \quad (2.5)$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = -g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X, \quad (2.6)$$

then M is called a para-Kenmotsu (p-Kenmotsu) manifold [16].

In a p-Kenmotsu manifold the following relations hold [16]:

$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1)\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.7)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = -(n-1)\eta(X), \quad \text{where } g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = g(X, Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y, Z)\eta(X), \quad (2.9)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi, \quad (2.10)$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X, \quad (2.11)$$

where S is the Ricci tensor and Q is the symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space at each point corresponding to the Ricci tensor and R is the Riemannian curvature.

If the Ricci curvature tensor S is of the form

$$S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.12)$$

then M is called η -Einstein manifold and if $b = 0$ then it is said to be Einstein manifold. M is called generalized η -Einstein manifold, if S is of the form

$$S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y) + cg(\phi X, Y), \quad (2.13)$$

where a, b, c are scalar functions on M .

In a p-Kenmotsu manifold M , the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi X - g(\phi X, Y)\xi \quad (2.14)$$

is a quarter-symmetric metric connection [8].

3 Curvature tensor of para-Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection

Let M be a p-Kenmotsu manifold. The curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of a p-Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is defined by

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y Z - \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\nabla}_X Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z.$$

Using equations (2.1)-(2.6) and (2.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + g(X, Z)\phi Y - g(Y, Z)\phi X + g(\phi X, Z)Y - g(\phi Y, Z)X \\ &\quad + g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of the connection ∇ given in (1.1).

Now from (3.1), we have

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z + \bar{R}(Y, Z)X + \bar{R}(Z, X)Y = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

or equivalently

$$\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) + \bar{R}(Y, Z, X, W) + \bar{R}(Z, X, Y, W) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\bar{R}(X, Y)Z, W)$. Thus the curvature tensor with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection satisfies the Bianchi first identity. Taking inner product of (3.1) with respect to W , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) &= R(X, Y, Z, W) + g(X, Z)g(\phi Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(\phi X, W) \\ &\quad + g(\phi X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(\phi Y, Z)g(X, W) \\ &\quad + g(\phi X, Z)g(\phi Y, W) - g(\phi Y, Z)g(\phi X, W). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Contracting (3.4) over X and W , we get

$$\bar{S}(Y, Z) = S(Y, Z) + (1 - 2n - \psi)g(\phi Y, Z) + (1 - \psi)g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z), \quad (3.5)$$

where $\psi = \text{trace } \phi$, S and $\bar{S}$ are the Ricci tensors with respect to the connections ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ respectively on M . Now contracting (3.5), we have

$$\bar{r} = r + 2n(1 - 2\psi) - \psi^2, \quad (3.6)$$

where r and $\bar{r}$ denote the scalar curvatures with respect to the connections ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ respectively

on M . Now we state the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *For a p -Kenmotsu manifold M with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$*

(1) *The curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ satisfies the Bianchi first identity and is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + g(X, Z)\phi Y - g(Y, Z)\phi X \\ &\quad + g(\phi X, Z)Y - g(\phi Y, Z)X + g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X.\end{aligned}$$

(2) *The Ricci tensor $\bar{S}$ is given by*

$$\bar{S}(Y, Z) = S(Y, Z) + (1 - 2n - \psi)g(\phi Y, Z) + (1 - \psi)g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z).$$

(3) *The relation between r and $\bar{r}$, respectively the scalar curvatures with respect to ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$, is given by*

$$\bar{r} = r + 2n(1 - 2\psi) - \psi^2.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6). $\square$

Some properties of the curvature tensor with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection are given in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *In a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional p -Kenmotsu manifold with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection, the following hold*

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X + \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\bar{R}(\xi, Y)Z = \eta(Z)Y + \eta(Z)\phi Y - g(Y, Z)\xi - g(\phi Y, Z)\xi, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\bar{R}(\xi, Y)\xi = Y + \phi Y - \eta(Y)\xi, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\bar{S}(Y, \xi) = (1 - n - \psi)\eta(Y), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\bar{S}(\xi, \xi) = (1 - n - \psi). \quad (3.11)$$

4 p-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $\bar{R} \cdot \bar{S} = 0$.

In this section we consider a p-Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfying

$$\bar{R}(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S} = 0.$$

This equation implies

$$\bar{S}(\bar{R}(X, Y)U, V) + \bar{S}(U, \bar{R}(X, Y)V) = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

where $X, Y, U, V \in \chi(M)$. Putting $X = \xi$ in (4.1), we have

$$\bar{S}(\bar{R}(\xi, Y)U, V) + \bar{S}(U, \bar{R}(\xi, Y)V) = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

By the equations (3.5), (3.8) and (3.10), equation (4.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta(U)\bar{S}(Y, V) + \eta(U)\bar{S}(\phi Y, V) - (1 - n - \psi)g(Y, U)\eta(V) - (1 - n - \psi)g(\phi Y, U)\eta(V) \\ & + \eta(V)\bar{S}(U, Y) + \eta(V)\bar{S}(\phi Y, U) - (1 - n - \psi)g(Y, V)\eta(U) - (1 - n - \psi)g(\phi Y, V)\eta(U) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $U = \xi$ and using (2.1) and (2.2), it follows that

$$\bar{S}(Y, V) + \bar{S}(\phi Y, V) = (1 - n - \psi)g(Y, V) + (1 - n - \psi)g(\phi Y, V). \quad (4.3)$$

Making use of (3.5), (4.3) takes form

$$S(Y, V) + S(\phi Y, V) = (\psi + n - 1)g(Y, V) + (2 - 2n - \psi)\eta(Y)\eta(V) + (\psi + n - 1)g(\phi Y, V). \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *If a p-Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection satisfying the condition $\bar{R} \cdot \bar{S} = 0$, then the Ricci tensor S of the manifold satisfies*

$$S(X, Y) + S(\phi X, Y) = (\psi + n - 1)g(X, Y) + (2 - 2n - \psi)\eta(X)\eta(Y) + (\psi + n - 1)g(\phi X, Y).$$

5 ϕ -concircularly flat p-Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection

Analogous to the definition of the concircular curvature given in (1.2), $\bar{W}$, the concircular curvature with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection is given by

$$\bar{W}(X, Y)Z = \bar{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]. \quad (5.1)$$

A p-Kenmotsu manifold is said to be ϕ -concircularly flat with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if

$$\bar{W}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi W) = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

where $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$.

Taking inner-product of (5.1) with respect to U and replacing X by ϕX , Y by ϕY , Z by ϕZ and U by ϕU , we get

$$\bar{R}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi W) = \frac{\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}[g(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi W) - g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi W)].$$

In view of (3.1) and (3.6), (5.3) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} R(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi W) &= g(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(X, \phi W) - g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(Y, \phi W) + g(Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi W) \\ &\quad - g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi W) - g(X, \phi Z)g(Y, \phi W) + g(Y, \phi Z)g(X, \phi W) \\ &\quad + \frac{r + 2n(1 - 2\psi) - \psi^2}{n(n-1)}[g(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi W) - g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi W)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1} = \xi\}$ be a local orthonormal ϕ -basis of vector fields in M , so that $\{\phi e_1, \phi e_2, \dots, \phi e_{2n}, \xi\}$ is also a local orthonormal basis in M . Putting $X = W = e_i$ in the last equation and summing over i , we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(Y, Z) &= \frac{(r + 2n(1 - 2\psi) - \psi^2)(2n - 1) + n(n - 1)\psi}{n(n - 1)}g(Y, Z) \\ &\quad - \frac{(r + 2n(1 - 2\psi) - \psi^2)(2n - 1) + n(n - 1)(n - 1 + \psi)}{n(n - 1)}\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\ &\quad - (2 - 2n - \psi)g(\phi Y, Z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Thus we state the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *A ϕ -concircularly flat p-Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection is a generalized η -Einstein manifold with the scalar curvature r given by (5.4).*

6 p-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $\bar{P} \cdot \bar{S} = 0$ with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Analogous to (1.3), the Weyl projective curvature $\bar{P}$ with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection is given by

$$\bar{P}(X, Y)Z = \bar{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1}[\bar{S}(Y, Z)X - \bar{S}(X, Z)Y].$$

Using (3.1) and (3.5), this equation implies

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + g(X, Z)\phi Y - g(Y, Z)\phi X + g(\phi X, Z)Y \\ &\quad - g(\phi Y, Z)X + g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - \frac{1}{n-1} \left[S(Y, Z)X \right. \\ &\quad + (1 - 2n - \psi)g(\phi Y, Z)X + (1 - \psi)g(Y, Z)X - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X \\ &\quad \left. - S(X, Z)Y - (1 - 2n - \psi)g(\phi X, Z)Y - (1 - \psi)g(X, Z)Y + \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

From the equation (6.1), we have the following properties of the Weyl projective curvature $\bar{P}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}(\xi, Y)Z &= \eta(Y)Z - g(Y, Z)\xi + \eta(Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y, Z)\xi - \frac{1}{n-1} \left[S(Y, Z)\xi \right. \\ &\quad + (1 - 2n - \psi)g(\phi Y, Z)\xi + (1 - \psi)g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\xi - S(\xi, Z)Y \\ &\quad \left. - (1 - \psi)\eta(Z)Y + \eta(Z)Y \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

and

$$\bar{P}(\xi, Y)\xi = Y - \eta(Y)\xi + \phi Y - \frac{1}{n-1} \left[(1 - \psi - n)\eta(Y)\xi + (\psi + n - 1)Y \right]. \quad (6.3)$$

Now, we consider a p-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying the curvature condition

$$\bar{P}(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\bar{S}(\bar{P}(X, Y)U, V) + \bar{S}(U, \bar{P}(X, Y)V) = 0.$$

The last equation implies

$$\bar{S}(\bar{P}(\xi, Y)\xi, V) + \bar{S}(\xi, \bar{P}(\xi, Y)V) = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

Using equation (6.2) and (6.3) in (6.4), we once again get the equation (4.4). Therefore we have the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. *For a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional p -Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection satisfying the condition $\bar{P} \cdot \bar{S} = 0$, the Ricci tensor S satisfies*

$$S(X, Y) + S(\phi X, Y) = (\psi + n - 1)g(\phi X, Y) + (\psi + n - 1)g(X, Y) + (2 - 2n - \psi)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

7 ϕ -Weyl projective flat p -Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.

A p -Kenmotsu manifold is said to be ϕ -Weyl projective flat with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if

$$\bar{P}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi U) = 0, \quad (7.1)$$

where $X, Y, Z, U \in \chi(M)$. Taking inner-product of (6.1) with respect to U and replacing X by ϕX , Y by ϕY , Z by ϕZ and U by ϕU , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi U) &= \bar{R}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi U) - \frac{1}{n-1} \left[S(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi U) \right. \\ &\quad + (1 - 2n - \psi)g(Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi U) + (1 - \psi)g(\phi X, \phi Z) \\ &\quad - S(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi U) - (1 - 2n - \psi)g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi U) \\ &\quad \left. + g(\phi X, \phi U) - (1 - \psi)g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi U) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Using (3.1), (7.1) in (7.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi W) &= -g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(Y, \phi W) + g(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(X, \phi W) - g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi W) \\ &\quad + g(Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi W) - g(X, \phi Z)g(Y, \phi W) + g(Y, \phi Z)g(X, \phi W) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-1} \left[S(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi U) + (1 - 2n - \psi)g(Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi U) \right. \\ &\quad + (1 - \psi)g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi U) - S(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi U) \\ &\quad \left. - (1 - 2n - \psi)g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi U) - (1 - \psi)g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi U) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, \xi\}$ be a local orthonormal ϕ -basis of vector fields in M , putting $X = W = e_i$ in the last equation and summing over i , we get

$$S(Y, Z) = \frac{(2n - \psi n - 1)}{2(1 - n)}g(Y, Z) - \frac{(n^2 - 3n + n\psi + 1)}{2(n - 1)}\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + \frac{(2n^2 + 3n + 2\psi - 3)}{2(n - 1)}g(\phi Y, Z).$$

Thus we state the following theorem:

Theorem 7.1. *If a p -Kenmotsu manifold is ϕ -Weyl projective flat with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection, it is a generalized η -Einstein manifold.*

8 Example

Example 8.1. Consider the 5-dimensional manifold $M = \{(u, v, x, y, z) \in R^5\}$ with standard coordinates (u, v, x, y, z) in R^5 . Then the following vector fields

$$e_1 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad e_2 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \quad e_3 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_4 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_5 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

are linearly independent at each point of M . Suppose g be the Riemannian metric defined by,

$$g(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

Let ϕ be the tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ defined by

$$\phi(e_1) = e_2, \quad \phi(e_2) = e_1, \quad \phi(e_3) = e_4, \quad \phi(e_4) = e_3, \quad \phi(e_5) = 0,$$

and η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(X) = g(X, e_5)$. Using the linearity of ϕ and g , we have

$$\eta(e_5) = 1, \quad \phi^2 X = X - \eta(X)e_5, \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$. If we take $e_5 = \xi$, the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is an almost para-contact Riemannian structure on M . Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= 0, & [e_1, e_3] &= 0, & [e_1, e_4] &= 0, & [e_1, e_5] &= e_1, & [e_2, e_3] &= 0, \\ [e_2, e_4] &= 0, & [e_2, e_5] &= e_2, & [e_3, e_4] &= 0, & [e_3, e_5] &= e_3, & [e_4, e_5] &= e_4. \end{aligned}$$

Using Koszul's formula, we obtain the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the metric tensor g as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= -e_5, & \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_1} e_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_1} e_4 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_1} e_5 &= e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= -e_5, & \nabla_{e_2} e_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_2} e_4 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_2} e_5 &= e_2, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_3} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_3} e_3 &= -e_5, & \nabla_{e_3} e_4 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_3} e_5 &= e_3, \\ \nabla_{e_4} e_1 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_4} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_4} e_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_4} e_4 &= -e_5, & \nabla_{e_4} e_5 &= e_4, \\ \nabla_{e_5} e_1 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_5} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_5} e_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_5} e_4 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_5} e_5 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Above relations show that equations (2.4)-(2.6) are satisfied. Therefore the manifold is a p-Kenmotsu manifold with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) .

Using (2.14), we get the quarter symmetric metric connection

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 &= -e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_1} e_2 &= -e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_1} e_3 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_1} e_4 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_1} e_5 &= e_1 + e_2, \\
 \bar{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1 &= -e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2 &= -e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_2} e_3 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_2} e_4 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_2} e_5 &= e_1 + e_2, \\
 \bar{\nabla}_{e_3} e_1 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_3} e_2 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_3} e_3 &= -e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_3} e_4 &= -e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_3} e_5 &= e_3 + e_4, \\
 \bar{\nabla}_{e_4} e_1 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_4} e_2 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_4} e_3 &= -e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_4} e_4 &= -e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_4} e_5 &= e_3 + e_4, \\
 \bar{\nabla}_{e_5} e_1 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_5} e_2 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_5} e_3 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_5} e_4 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_5} e_5 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we obtain non-zero components of their curvature tensors:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(e_1, e_2)e_1 &= e_2, & R(e_1, e_3)e_1 &= e_3, & R(e_1, e_4)e_1 &= e_4, & R(e_1, e_5)e_1 &= e_5, \\
 R(e_2, e_1)e_2 &= e_1, & R(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= e_3, & R(e_2, e_4)e_2 &= e_4, & R(e_2, e_5)e_2 &= e_5, \\
 R(e_3, e_1)e_3 &= e_1, & R(e_3, e_2)e_3 &= e_2, & R(e_3, e_4)e_3 &= e_4, & R(e_3, e_5)e_3 &= e_5, \\
 R(e_4, e_1)e_4 &= e_2, & R(e_4, e_2)e_4 &= e_2, & R(e_4, e_3)e_4 &= e_3, & R(e_4, e_5)e_4 &= e_5.
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{R}(e_1, e_3)e_1 &= e_3 + e_4, & \bar{R}(e_1, e_4)e_1 &= e_3 + e_4, & \bar{R}(e_1, e_5)e_1 &= e_5, \\
 \bar{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= e_3 + e_4, & \bar{R}(e_2, e_4)e_2 &= e_3 + e_4, & \bar{R}(e_2, e_5)e_2 &= e_5, \\
 \bar{R}(e_3, e_1)e_3 &= e_1 + e_2, & \bar{R}(e_3, e_2)e_3 &= e_1 + e_2, & \bar{R}(e_3, e_5)e_3 &= e_5, \\
 \bar{R}(e_4, e_1)e_4 &= e_1 + e_2, & \bar{R}(e_4, e_2)e_4 &= e_1 + e_2, & \bar{R}(e_4, e_5)e_4 &= e_5, \\
 \bar{R}(e_5, e_1)e_5 &= e_1 + e_2, & \bar{R}(e_5, e_2)e_5 &= e_1 + e_2, & \bar{R}(e_5, e_3)e_5 &= e_3 + e_4, \\
 \bar{R}(e_5, e_4)e_5 &= e_3 + e_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the above results, it is easy to find the following non-zero components of Ricci tensors:

$$S(e_1, e_1) = S(e_2, e_2) = S(e_3, e_3) = S(e_4, e_4) = S(e_5, e_5) = -4,$$

and

$$\bar{S}(e_1, e_1) = \bar{S}(e_1, e_2) = \bar{S}(e_2, e_2) = \bar{S}(e_3, e_3) = \bar{S}(e_3, e_4) = -3, \quad \bar{S}(e_4, e_4) = -3, \quad \bar{S}(e_5, e_5) = -4.$$

Therefore, we get $r = -20$ and $\bar{r} = -16$. Hence the statement of Theorem 3.1 is verified. Also by the relations mentioned above, the results in sections 5 and 6 are easily verified.

Acknowledgement

The first author is thankful to the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi for the financial support in the form of JRF (IF200486).

References

- [1] S. C. Biswas and U. C. De, “Quarter-symmetric metric connection in an SP-Sasakian manifold,” *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat.*, vol. 46, no. 1-2, pp. 49–56, 1997.
- [2] A. De, “On Kenmotsu manifold,” *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1–6, 2010.
- [3] U. C. De and G. Pathak, “On 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds,” *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 159–165, 2004.
- [4] U. C. De and J. Sengupta, “Quarter-symmetric metric connection on a Sasakian manifold,” *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat.*, vol. 49, no. 1-2, pp. 7–13, 2000.
- [5] U. C. De, D. Mandal, and K. Mandal, “Some characterizations of Kenmotsu manifolds admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection,” *Bull. Transilv. Univ. Braşov Ser. III*, vol. 9(58), no. 1, pp. 39–52, 2016.
- [6] A. Friedman and J. A. Schouten, “Über die Geometrie der halbsymmetrischen Übertragungen,” *Math Z*, vol. 21, pp. 211–223, 1924, doi: 10.1007/BF01187468.
- [7] S. Gołąb, “On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections,” *Tensor (N.S.)*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 249–254, 1975.
- [8] A. Haseeb and R. Prasad, “Certain results on Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifolds,” *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. (3)*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 201–220, 2021.
- [9] J.-B. Jun, U. C. De, and G. Pathak, “On Kenmotsu manifolds,” *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 435–445, 2005, doi: 10.4134/JKMS.2005.42.3.435.
- [10] K. Kenmotsu, “A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds,” *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, vol. 24, pp. 93–103, 1972, doi: 10.2748/tmj/1178241594.
- [11] M. Kon and K. Yano, *Structures on manifolds*, ser. Series in Pure Mathematics. Chandrama Prakashan, Allahabad, 1985, vol. 3, doi: 10.1142/0067.
- [12] R. S. Mishra, *Structures on a differentiable manifold and their applications*. Chandrama Prakashan, Allahabad, 1984.
- [13] I. Sato, “On a structure similar to the almost contact structure,” *Tensor (N.S.)*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 219–224, 1976.
- [14] T. Satyanarayana and K. L. S. Prasad, “On a type of para-Kenmotsu manifold,” *Pure Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 165–170, 2013.
- [15] R. N. Singh, S. K. Pandey, and G. Pandey, “On a type of Kenmotsu manifold,” *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 117–132, 2012.

- [16] B. B. Sinha and K. L. Sai Prasad, “A class of almost para contact metric manifold,” *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, vol. 87, no. 4, pp. 307–312, 1995.
- [17] S. Sular, C. Özgür, and U. C. De, “Quarter-symmetric metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold,” *SUT J. Math.*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 297–306, 2008.
- [18] W. Tang, P. Majhi, P. Zhao, and U. C. De, “Legendre curves on 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds admitting semisymmetric metric connection,” *Filomat*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 3651–3656, 2018, doi: 10.2298/fil1810651t.
- [19] M. M. Tripathi, “On a semi symmetric metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold,” *J. Pure Math.*, vol. 16, pp. 67–71, 1999.